More than 300 women were shot, stabbed, strangled, beaten, bludgeoned or burned to death over the past decade by men in South Carolina, dying at a rate of one every 12 days while the state does little to stem the carnage from domestic abuse.

More than three times as many women have died here at the hands of current or former lovers than the number of Palmetto State soldiers killed in the Iraq and Afghanistan wars combined.

It’s a staggering toll that for more than 15 years has placed South Carolina among the top 10 states nationally in the rate of women killed by men. The state topped the list on three occasions, including this past year, when it posted a murder rate for women that was more than double the national rate.

Awash in guns, saddled with ineffective laws and lacking enough shelters for the battered, South Carolina is a state where the deck is stacked against women trapped in the cycle of abuse, a Post and Courier investigation has found.

Couple this with deep-rooted beliefs about the sanctity of marriage and the place of women in the home, and the vows “till death do us part” take on a sinister tone.

S.C. was No. 1 this past year for the rate of women killed by men, with a toll more than double the U.S. rate
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The beat of killings has remained a constant in South Carolina, even as domestic violence rates have tumbled 64 percent nationwide over the past two decades, according to an analysis of crime statistics by the newspaper. This blood has spilled in every corner of the state, from beach towns and mountain hamlets to farming villages and sprawling urban centers, cutting across racial, ethnic and economic lines.

Consider 25-year-old Erica Olsen of Anderson, who was two months pregnant when her boyfriend stabbed her 25 times in front of her young daughter in October 2006. Or Andrenna Butler, 72, whose estranged husband drove from Pennsylvania to gun her down in her Newberry home in December. Or 30-year-old Dara Watson, whose fiancé shot her in the head at their Mount Pleasant home and dumped her in a Lowcountry forest in February 2012 before killing himself.

Interviews with more than 100 victims, counselors, police, prosecutors and judges reveal an ingrained, multi-generational problem in South Carolina, where abusive behavior is passed down from parents to their children. Yet the problem essentially remains a silent epidemic, a private matter that is seldom discussed outside the home until someone is seriously hurt.

“‘We have the notion that what goes on between a couple is just between the couple and is none of our business,’” said 9th Circuit Solicitor Scarlett Wilson, chief prosecutor for Charleston and Berkeley counties. “Where that analysis goes wrong is we have to remember that couple is training their little boy that this is how he treats women and training their little girl that this is what she should expect from her man. The cycle is just perpetual.”

**A lack of action**

South Carolina is hardly alone in dealing with domestic violence. Nationwide, an average of three women are killed by a current or former lover every day. Other states are moving forward with reform measures, but South Carolina has largely remained idle while its domestic murder rate consistently ranks among the nation’s worst.

Though state officials have long lamented the high death toll for women, lawmakers have put little money into prevention programs and have resisted efforts to toughen penalties for abusers. This past year alone, a dozen measures to combat domestic violence died in the Legislature.

The state’s largest metro areas of Greenville, Columbia and Charleston lead the death tally in sheer numbers. But rural pockets, such as Marlboro, Allendale and Greenwood counties, hold more danger because the odds are higher there that a woman will die from domestic violence. These are places where resources for victims of abuse are thin, a predicament the state has done little to address.

All 46 counties have at least one animal shelter to care for stray dogs and cats, but the state has only 18 domestic violence shelters to help women trying to escape abuse in the home. Experts say that just isn’t enough in a state that records around 36,000 incidents of domestic abuse every year. More than 380 victims were turned away from shelters around the state between
In S.C., abusers go free time and time again

2012 and 2013 because they had no room, according to the state Department of Social Services.

Oconee County, in South Carolina’s rural northwest corner, realized it had a problem last year after six people died over six months in domestic killings. The sheriff pushed for the county to open a shelter after 58-year-old Gwendolyn Hiott was shot dead while trying to leave her husband, who then killed himself. She had nowhere to go, but the couple’s 24 cats and dogs were taken to the local animal shelter to be fed and housed while waiting for adoption.

When asked, most state legislators profess deep concern over domestic violence. Yet they maintain a legal system in which a man can earn five years in prison for abusing his dog but a maximum of just 30 days in jail for beating his wife or girlfriend on a first offense.

Many states have harsher penalties. Mississippi, Ohio and Tennessee, for example, set the maximum jail stay for the same crime at six months. In Georgia and Alabama it is a year.

This extra time behind bars not only serves as a deterrent but also can save lives, according to counselors, prosecutors and academics. Studies have shown that the risk of being killed by an angry lover declines three months after separation and drops sharply after a year’s time.

Wife beaters get lenient treatment

More than a third of those charged in South Carolina domestic killings over the past decade had at least one prior arrest for criminal domestic violence or assault. About 60 percent of those people had multiple prior arrests on those charges, with one man alone charged with seven domestic assaults. The majority spent just days in jail as a result of those crimes.

A prime example is Lee Dell Bradley, a 59-year-old Summerville man accused of fatally stabbing his longtime girlfriend, Frances Lawrence, 59, in late May. Despite two prior arrests for violating court orders meant to protect Lawrence, the longest Bradley ever stayed in jail for abusing women was 81 days. And that came only after he appeared before a judge on a domestic violence charge for the fifth time.

Then there is 55-year-old David Reagan of Charleston, who spent a total of less than a year in jail on three previous domestic violence convictions before he was charged with strangling a girlfriend in 2013 while awaiting trial on an earlier domestic violence charge involving the girlfriend.

The Post and Courier investigation also found:

- Police and court resources vary wildly across the state. Larger cities, such as Charleston, generally have dedicated police units and special courts to deal with domestic violence. Most small towns do not, making it difficult to track abusers, catch signs of escalating violence and make services readily available to both victims and abusers.

- Accused killers are funneled into a state court system that struggles with overloaded dockets and depends on plea deals to push cases through. Of those convicted of domestic homicides since 2005, nearly half pleaded guilty to lesser charges that carry lighter sentences.

- Guns were the weapon of choice in nearly seven out of every 10 domestic killings of women over the past decade, but South Carolina lawmakers have blocked efforts to keep firearms out of the hands of abusers. Unlike South Carolina, more than two-thirds of all states bar batterers facing restraining orders from having firearms, and about half of those allow or require police to seize guns when they respond to domestic violence complaints.

- Abusers get out of jail quickly because of low bail requirements. Some states, including Maryland and Connecticut, screen domestic cases to determine which
offenders pose the most danger to their victims. South Carolina doesn’t do this.

- Domestic abusers often are diverted to anger-management programs rather than jail even though many experts agree that they don’t work. In Charleston, authorities hauled one young man into court in March after he failed to complete his anger-management program. His excuse: He had missed his appointments because he had been jailed again for breaking into his girlfriend’s home and beating her.
- Victims are encouraged to seek orders of protection, but the orders lack teeth, and the state has no central means to alert police that an order exists. Take the case of 46-year-old Robert Irby, who still had the restraining order paperwork in his hand the day he confessed to stalking and killing his ex-girlfriend in Greer in 2010. He gunned her down outside her home the day after he learned about the order.
- The vast majority of states have fatality-review teams in place that study domestic killings for patterns and lessons that can be used to prevent future violence. South Carolina is one of only nine states without such a team.

Legislative death

Located in the heart of the Bible Belt, South Carolina is a deeply conservative state where men have ruled for centuries. The state elected its first female governor four years ago, but men continue to dominate elected offices, judicial appointments and other seats of government and corporate power. In many respects, the state’s power structure is a fraternity reluctant to challenge the belief that a man’s home is his castle and what goes on there, stays there.

“Some of this is rooted in this notion of women as property and maintaining the privacy of what goes on within the walls of the home,” said state Rep. Gilda Cobb-Hunter, an Orangeburg Democrat. “And a lot of it has to do with this notion of gun rights as well. When all of those things are rolled into one, it tends to speak to why we rank so high in the number of fatalities.”

Against this backdrop, it has often been difficult to get traction for spending more tax dollars for domestic violence programs and bolstering protections for the abused. The only consistent state money spent on such programs comes from a sliver of proceeds from marriage license fees — a figure that has hovered for years around $800,000 for the entire state. That’s just a tad more than lawmakers earmarked this year for improvements to a fish farm in Colleton County. It equates to roughly $22 for each domestic violence victim.

“Even as we have gone up in the number of murders and attempted murders over the years, that support has never changed,” said Rebecca Williams-Agee, director of prevention and education for the S.C. Coalition Against Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault. “It’s all wrapped up in the politics of this state and the stereotypes of domestic violence victims. Why does she stay? Why doesn’t

**Faces of domestic violence**

Stories of witnesses and survivors

Two years ago, Danielle Richardson poured out her heart in a book titled “God Heard My Cries: The Deliverance.” She was 37 and had spent much of her adult life drugged, drunk or both to escape what she witnessed at 16.

In the early morning hours of June 18, 1991, her mother’s longtime boyfriend, Greatly Montgomery, stormed into their East Side Charleston home drunk and argumentative, the way he did many nights. He railed at Richardson’s mother and the blows began. Richardson heard her mother’s screams as she tumbled from furniture to wall. Her mother then crashed into Richardson’s bedroom, blood spewing from 38 stab wounds. Richardson pressed a sheet into one gaping wound, but blood still flowed.

Neighbors called 911 and held Montgomery until they arrived and hauled him to jail, where he committed suicide a couple weeks later.

The trauma of that night stole the next 16 years of Richardson’s life. Then on July 15, 2007, she awoke in pain and vomit and promised God she’d sober up and straighten out her life.

Today, Richardson says she’s found happiness in motherhood and in God and church. “I’m determined not to be in an unhealthy relationship ... ever,” she said. “I never want to see anybody carry that burden.”
she pull herself up by her own bootstraps?”

Alicia Alvarez put up with abuse for years before she got the courage to leave. The Charleston mother of two said abusers create an atmosphere that robs victims of confidence.

Abusers don’t begin by hitting or killing, Alvarez said. “It begins with little criticisms, second-guessing everything you do. They get in your brain so that when they tell you, ‘You are worthless,’ you believe it.”

Just a few months after South Carolina’s most recent designation as the deadliest in the nation for women, the state’s Legislature took up about a dozen bills aimed at toughening penalties for abusers, keeping guns out of their hands and keeping them away from their victims.

The bills languished in committees and died, with the exception of a lone provision that aims to protect the welfare of family pets left in the care of a person facing domestic abuse charges.

Five of those measures got stuck in the Senate Judiciary Committee, a panel filled with lawyers. Its chairman is Larry Martin, a Republican from Pickens County, where nine domestic killings occurred over the past decade.

Martin wasn’t sure why the bills failed to advance, but he stressed that he is a strong supporter of measures to reduce domestic abuse. He said lawmakers had approved meaningful legislation on the topic in recent years and that the measures had a powerful impact, though he couldn’t recall what those bills were.

“I promise you there is no effort to hold anything up,” he said. “We are generally supportive of legislation that helps reduce the horrible statistics we have each year on domestic violence.”

If so, Cobb-Hunter hasn’t seen it. She pushed a proposal to require abusers to surrender their firearms if convicted of domestic violence or facing a restraining order. The proposal went nowhere after running headlong into the state’s powerful gun lobby in an election year, she said.

“You put those two things together and you see the results — nothing happens. But, at the same time, families are being destroyed by this violence. That shouldn’t be acceptable to any of us.”

State Rep. Bakari Sellers’ proposal to stiffen penalties for first-time domestic violence offenders met a similar fate. The Democrat from Denmark, who is running for lieutenant governor, said some of his fellow House Judiciary Committee members seemed more intent on blaming victims for staying in abusive relationships than in giving the bill a fair airing.

“It’s a big issue statewide, but people were just indifferent,” Sellers said. “The sad part is that women will die.”

After several calls to legislators from The Post and Courier, House Speaker Bobby Harrell contacted the newspaper in early June to say he was disappointed the session had ended with no action on domestic violence reform. The Charleston Republican pledged to appoint an ad hoc committee, led by a female lawmaker, to study the issue prior to the next legislative session and chart a path for change. No appointments had been made by Monday, but they were said to be in the works.

This time, Harrell said, things will be different. Paulette Sullivan Moore, vice president of public policy for Washington, D.C.-based National Network to End Domestic Violence, said curbing domestic violence is possible with good laws and systems for protecting women. But South Carolina’s lingering presence among the top states for domestic homicides shows the state isn’t getting the job done, she said.

“To be in the top 10 states for so many years is pretty significant,” she said. “I think that says the state needs to take advantage of this opportunity to craft good policy and legislation to ensure that it is not failing half of its population.”

If history holds true, 30 more women will be dead by the end of the next legislative session in June 2015, when lawmakers have another chance to stem the violence.

The thin line

Every year, people from across South Carolina gather at the Statehouse in Columbia to remember those killed in domestic violence, a somber ceremony marked by the reading of names and tolling of bells.

Politicians, prosecutors and other advocates repeat calls for an end to the bloodshed and proclaim criminal domestic violence the state’s No. 1 law enforcement priority.

Poets, scholars and philosophers have long rhapsodized about the thin line separating love from hate, a delicate thread that, when bent, can fuel a ravenous passion for reckoning and retribution. All too often in South Carolina, this ends with women paying the ultimate price.

That was the case just before Christmas 2011, when Avery Blandin, 49, stalked through the front of a Walmart store in suburban Greenville County, seething with rage and carrying a 12-inch knife tucked into the waistband of his slacks.

Built like a fireplug and prone to blowing his stack, Blandin marched into the bank inside the store where his wife Lilia worked and began shouting. He jerked her onto a table and pulled out his knife, stabbing her again and again. When she slumped to the floor, he stomped on her head and neck.

Lilia died within the hour. She was 38.

Blandin had used his wife as a punching bag for years. She had filed charges against him, sought orders of protection and slept in her car to keep him at bay. But none of that stopped him from making good on his threats to kill her that December day.

“I loved her,” Blandin told an Upstate courtroom after pleading guilty to her murder. “She was my wife, my best friend.”
The focus of this series is on women killed by men because South Carolina has the nation’s highest rate of such deaths based on the latest study by the Violence Policy Center in Washington, D.C. To count the number of women killed by men for this story, The Post and Courier relied primarily on annual “Silent Witness” reports compiled by the state attorney general. Those reports list the women and men killed in domestic violence. The state’s laws define criminal domestic violence as involving the following relationships: present and former spouses, men and women who share a child, and men and women who live together or used to live together.

The Post and Courier, with the assistance of the South Carolina Press Association, ran criminal background checks on the killers listed in the reports. The newspaper then compiled the victims and killers into a database covering the years 2005-14, adding in criminal backgrounds, details about the killings and information from court records, law enforcement agencies and news accounts.

Some other tallies of the death toll from domestic violence show markedly higher numbers. That’s because they include other relationships, such as boyfriends and girlfriends who don’t live together, and family members. For example, a study of domestic violence in South Carolina between 2007 and 2011 listed 412 killings over that five-year period alone. That count by the S.C. Department of Public Safety, Office of Highway Safety and Justice Programs included family members and romantic relationships in which the couple didn’t live together.

Men are also victims of domestic killings. They accounted for 19 percent of the dead in South Carolina from 2005 to June 2014. In many of those cases the women killed the man in apparent self-defense, The Post and Courier found. Nationally, the percentage of men killed in domestic violence is 15 percent.
‘I was so frustrated. I was naive.’

Attitudes in the Legislature stunned a women’s shelter director as she worked in vain for a new domestic violence law.

Becky Callaham stepped onto the South Carolina Statehouse grounds, filled with optimism, to support a proposed law that would provide better protections for victims of domestic violence.

She thought lawmakers would be stirred to action by the national scorn the state has received since September when it was ranked No. 1 in the nation in the rate of women killed by men.

Callaham, executive director of Safe Harbor, a Greenville-based women’s shelter, figured legislators might finally be ready to pass a new law aimed at stemming the carnage.

“I felt like we really could get something done.”

She left the March 27 hearing with her hope all but shattered. She didn’t know the legislators on the panel, but one of them asked her a question that referred to female victims as “those types of people.”

Her mouth fell open in shock at the attitude she thought had died long ago.

And she watched the bill get dismembered as its sponsors tried in vain to win over lawmakers with objections about gun restrictions, increased sentences and the legal rights of accused abusers.

The bill’s provision for the surrender of firearms was dropped and the proposal for a maximum 180-day sentence on first conviction was cut to 60 days.

“It got chipped away to nothing, then died,” Callaham said. “I was so frustrated. I was naive.”

The South Carolina House of Representatives is empty after the end of the term. The last time the Legislature acted to strengthen domestic violence laws was a decade ago when fines and sentences were increased for repeat offenders.
Inaction dooms bills as the death toll rises

A trail of death and inaction

The bill Callaham supported was filed Dec. 3, the first of seven proposed laws in what appeared to be a major effort by lawmakers to tackle the state’s status as the nation’s most deadly for women.

By the time the bill was formally introduced a month later at the opening of the 2014 legislative session, 72-year-old Andrenna Butler would be found by a neighbor dead on the floor of her Newberry home. She had a bullet in her head from what police described as a domestic dispute with her ex-husband of 50 years.

Before the first words of the bill were read on the House floor, five other South Carolina residents would die, also victims of domestic violence.

And the day after the bill was read and referred to the House Judiciary Committee for review, Shedrick Miller armed himself with a handgun in his suburban Columbia home not far from the Capitol building. The 38-year-old methodically went from bedroom to bedroom, shot his two children, ages 3 and 1, in their heads, then killed his wife, Kia, and took his own life. Police described it as a tragic explosion of domestic violence.

None of these killings seemed to resonate much inside the halls of the Statehouse. In fact, one month after
Miller obliterated his family 11 miles from the Senate floor, lawmakers approved a measure to expand gun rights, allowing people to carry loaded, concealed weapons into a bar or restaurant.

By the end of that month, all seven of the new domestic violence bills would be referred for study to either the House or Senate Judiciary committees. There, they joined five other proposed domestic violence laws left over from the previous year’s legislative session.

Both committees are filled with lawyers, many of whom practice criminal defense and are inherently suspicious of attempts to ratchet up penalties for offenders. The committees also are loaded with men. The 23-member Senate Judiciary Committee has only one woman. The House Judiciary has 25 members, of which five are women. And the subcommittee Callaham testified before contains no female members.

Rep. Bill Crosby, a Charleston Republican who pushed another measure to combat domestic violence, said many of the members on the committee are attorneys, “and they typically argue against strong penalties.”

If proposals make it out of these committees, they stand a decent chance of becoming law. But the committees also can function like a legislative purgatory of sorts, a black hole in the process where unpopular bills languish in a limbo state until the clock simply runs out. In this manner, no one is required to take a stand and no up-or-down vote need take place. Committees instead adjourn debate, and the proposal just goes away with no record of why or who’s responsible.

The last time the Legislature took a stab at strengthening domestic violence laws was a decade ago when fines and sentences were increased for repeat offenders. Lawmakers also added a mandatory one-year sentence for those convicted of domestic violence of a “high and aggravated” nature.

Opponents of those changes argued at the time that the increased penalties might result in people being arrested on lesser charges, such as assault. But a 2007 study by the state Office of Research and Statistics showed no substantive change.

More needs to be done

All of the legislators interviewed by The Post and Courier about South Carolina’s deadly ranking for women agreed something more needs to be done to stem the brutality. But, they said, it often takes time to reach compromises to create workable laws.

It’s time many women don’t have, Callaham said.

In the two months between Miller’s deadly rampage and Callaham’s testimony in the House committee, seven more South Carolinians died from domestic violence, including 24-year-old Jeremy Williamson of North.

Williamson had been implicated in two earlier incidents of domestic violence for which he was not arrested. And he was awaiting trial on a charge of criminal domestic violence for a third incident when he got into an argument with his girlfriend, Shayla Davis, 23, in the early morning hours of Feb. 2.

Police said Davis tried to leave, but Williamson dragged her back into the house, punched the back of her head and threw her to the floor. She struggled to her feet, grabbed a gun and ordered him to leave, but he lunged for her and took a bullet to his stomach.

Williamson died shortly after at a hospital. Orangeburg County Sheriff Leroy Ravenell called the killing justified because Davis was in fear for her life.

Ravenell labeled it another example of the epidemic of domestic violence sweeping the state.

“We must take steps now to improve a victim’s ability to get the resources necessary to better manage and eventually leave these relationships,” the sheriff told The (Orangeburg) Times and Democrat.

Brought to tears

Similar sentiments drove Democratic Rep. Bakari Sellers of Denmark to sponsor the bill Callaham went to the Capitol to support. Sellers said he drafted the measure after attending October’s “Silent Witness” ceremony, a somber gathering in which the names of those killed in domestic violence the previous year are read aloud. It’s an effort by the state Attorney General’s Office to call attention to the macabre toll.

As name followed name, “I literally cried,” Sellers said. Sellers said he believes his bill did not get an honest consideration from the House Judiciary’s Criminal Laws Subcommittee. He wouldn’t name the lawmaker but said one expressed dismissive questions that blame women for not leaving their abusers.

Several people who were at the hearing, but didn’t want their names used because they have to appear before the committee, identified the panel member as Republican Rep. Eddie Tallon of Spartanburg.

Tallon is a retired SLED agent who is known in the Legislature as an advocate for law enforcement and public safety issues.

“I’m the law-and-order guy on that committee,” Tallon said. “I can’t imagine me saying anything. ... If something was said, it was not said in a derogatory manner.”

As far as domestic violence is concerned, he said, “We certainly have a problem. Anything we can do to help stem it, we need to do.”

Sellers said the Legislature needs to do just that, fix it. He said that as a lawyer who has defended male and female abusers, he’s seen the system’s problems and believes his bill would have gone a long way toward solving those problems, especially with tougher penalties and more court-ordered counseling for batterers.

Though it can be difficult to pinpoint exactly who worked behind closed doors to scuttle this year’s reform effort, some key opponents are well-known.

Republican Sen. Lee Bright of Spartanburg is a fervent defender of gun rights, and he is suspicious of many of the proposals that included provisions to restrict access
Women killed by men in S.C. since December 2013

Dec. 21, 2013
Andrenna Butler, 72, fatally shot in the head by her estranged husband, Charles Butler, 76, who drove from Pennsylvania to Newberry to kill her.

Mong Thuy Thi Doan shot to death by her 32-year-old boyfriend in North Charleston after they had just returned from Vietnam for the holidays. He then shot himself to death.

Dec. 30, 2013
Sierra Landry, 18, shot to death in Lancaster. Her ex-boyfriend, Tanner Crolley, is charged with her killing and is awaiting trial.

Jan. 15, 2014
Kia Von Miller, 28, shot to death in Irmo by her husband, Shedrick Bryon Miller, 38, who also killed their two children and himself.

Jan. 29, 2014
Kimberly Sledge, 51, shot and killed in Pelzer. Her husband, John Calvin Sledge, 46, is awaiting trial on a murder charge.

March 19, 2014
Lacey Parker, 32, shot to death outside her home in Warrenville. Her ex-boyfriend, Todd Virgil Brown, 46, is awaiting trial on a murder charge.

March 21, 2014
Barbara Gregory, 71, shot in Rock Hill by her husband, Ronald Fred Gregory, who also shot and killed his 9-year-old granddaughter. Gregory then shot himself twice but survived.

April 26, 2014
Theresa Steward, 51, dies from a beating, allegedly at the hands of her boyfriend, Timotheus Hemingway, in Eastover.

April 30, 2014
Mariann Eileen O’Shields, 55, shot to death outside a Spartanburg domestic violence shelter. Her husband, Robert Lee O’Shields, is charged with murder.

May 23, 2014
Frances Lawrence, 60, stabbed to death in her Moncks Corner home. Her boyfriend, Lee Dell Bradley, is charged with murder.

June 4, 2014
Tammy Flowers, 36, shot to death in her Goose Creek home. Her husband, Darryl Flowers, is accused of killing her and wounding her two nieces.

June 12, 2014
Susan Wilkes, 59, stabbed to death. Her husband, Marion Wilkes, and son, Joseph Wilkes, are charged with her murder.

Legislative failure since Dec. 2013

Dec. 3, 2013
House bill pre-filed to increase misdemeanor domestic violence penalties, require batterer counseling and allow judges to require gun surrender as a condition of bond.

Dec. 10, 2013
House bill pre-filed to create a felony offense of strangulation and smothering and to add those crimes to the list of offenses qualifying for an aggravated domestic violence charge.

Jan. 14, 2014
House bill on increasing domestic violence penalties referred to House Judiciary Committee. It stalls there and never goes to a vote.

House bill on strangulation offense referred to House Judiciary Committee. It stalls there and never goes to a vote.

Feb. 11, 2014
Gov. Nikki Haley signs bill into law that allows concealed firearm permit-holders to take their guns into bars and restaurants that serve alcohol.

Feb. 18, 2014
Senate bill introduced seeking to boost penalties for misdemeanor domestic violence offenses, mandate treatment programs and restrict firearm possession as a bond condition.

Feb. 20, 2014
The House passes a bill naming the Columbian mammoth the state fossil.

Feb. 24, 2014
Senate bill seeking to mirror federal gun laws is referred to Senate Judiciary subcommittee. It stalls there and never goes to a vote.

Senate bill seeking to boost domestic violence penalties referred to Senate Judiciary subcommittee. It stalls there and never goes to a vote.

March 19, 2014
Senate bill filed to designate barbecue the official state picnic food. Bill signed into law June 2.

March 27, 2014
House subcommittee hears testimony on bill to increase penalties on first-time domestic violence offenders and adjourns with no action.

June 6, 2014
General Assembly adjourns.

June 9, 2014
Gov. Nikki Haley signs into law a measure extending protective orders to pets left in the care of domestic abusers.
to firearms. Bright, a member of the powerful Senate Judiciary Committee where five of the bills died this year, said many of the proponents of stiffer domestic violence laws use them as a cover for restricting guns.

“There’s a segment of our population that wants to take our gun rights,” said Bright, who raffled off an AR-15 rifle this year as part of a bid for U.S. Senate.

In the Senate in particular, such sentiment can be fatal to a bill’s chances because all it takes to basically stop a measure is one senator’s opposition.

Republican Sen. Larry Martin of Pickens, chairman of the Senate Judiciary Committee, had no explanation for what happened to the bills that died in his committee. But he said his panel has tackled meaningful domestic measures in years past and generally stands behind efforts to protect women.

“We passed some good things that I believe made a real difference but we still have a long way to go,” Martin said.

House Minority Leader Rep. J. Todd Rutherford of Columbia also doesn’t hesitate to voice his dislike of virtually all of the bills designed to strengthen the state’s domestic violence laws. Rutherford, a criminal defense attorney in Columbia and a former prosecutor, is a member of the House Judiciary Committee, where seven of the domestic violence bills died.

Rutherford blames victim advocates for poisoning the well. He said all they do is push for laws that make it harder for the accused to get out of jail on bond and easier to increase their time behind bars once convicted of abuse.

He said such laws fail to take into account that many cases involve families that might be preserved if the abusers were given more options to avoid higher bonds, stiffer fines and convictions.

The current maximum 30-day jail sentence for first-offense criminal domestic violence might not seem like much to some, Rutherford said, but it’s a long time for most people to be locked up. If jailed, the man could lose his family, his job, his benefits and his house, he said.

Rutherford contends that’s why so many women want to drop the charges after they call police: They realize the destructive consequences for the whole family.

Rutherford wants more pretrial diversion, counseling and classes to help change behavior, reaching not only perpetrators but also young people who might otherwise become perpetrators.

“We’ve got to show them a different way. We truly need to take a comprehensive look at how to fix the problem ... all we do is lock people up,” he said.

But don’t expect him to propose such a bill, Rutherford said, because his political opponents will accuse him of “pandering to offenders.”

Besides, he said, “It’s an exercise in futility because that takes money and we’re not going to spend it.”

Republican Rep. Greg Delleney of Chester, chairman of the House Judiciary Committee, did not return phone calls from the newspaper.

Focus on the causes

Between the end of March and the conclusion of the legislative session in June, six more domestic killings made headlines across the state.

“Domestic violence is primarily a crime against women, and it’s in a system enforced by men.”

Nicole Holland, criminal domestic violence prosecutor, Lexington County Sheriff’s Department

Becky Callaham, executive director of Safe Harbor, a Greenville-based shelter and counseling center for women, said she felt naive and frustrated after her high hopes for legislative action on domestic violence were dashed by the General Assembly this year.

{ Till death do us part }
Among those to die was 55-year-old Mariann Eileen O’Shields. She had checked herself and her daughter into a domestic violence shelter in Spartanburg and filed for a court order of protection to keep her husband away.

On April 30, she walked her 8-year-old daughter to the bus stop, not far from the SAFE Homes shelter where they were hiding. After her daughter boarded the bus to school, O’Shields walked back toward the shelter, less than 200 yards away.

A white van pulled near her. Gunshots shattered the quiet. She fell to the ground with three bullet wounds and died in an operating room. Her estranged husband, Robert Lee O’Shields, 52, awaits trial on a murder charge.

Republican Sen. Tom Corbin represents Greenville and Spartanburg in the Legislature. He also is a member of the Senate Judiciary Committee, where he opposed domestic violence bills with provisions to restrict firearms.

Corbin likened his feelings about gun restrictions to an episode of the 1970s television comedy “All in the Family.”

In the episode, he said, “Gloria is talking about gun control and how people were killed by guns and Archie said, ‘Would you feel better if they got pushed out the window?’ ”

Domestic abusers can turn to other weapons, such as knives or rocks or sticks, to get the end result they’re seeking: murder. Restricting guns won’t help solve that, Corbin said.

“A lot of times we’re not focused on the right thing. We need to focus on what causes violence and try to stop that,” Corbin said. “There needs to be a lot more love for Jesus in the world, and I think that would curb a lot of violence.”

By the time the legislative session ended in June, all but one of the domestic violence bills had died in committee.

The lone exception: a measure approved by the Legislature in early June and signed into law by Gov. Nikki Haley. It provides for court-ordered protection for the pets of the victims of domestic violence.

Sellers, the Democratic House member from Denmark, said the Legislature’s failure to pass any of the bills to protect domestic abuse victims, yet pass one to protect their pets, offers a sad commentary.

“When you say it like that, it’s laughable. Then you have to stop and say, ‘You know it’s not funny.’ A woman dying: It supersedes all politics, but it apparently doesn’t supersede ignorance.”

---

**Faces of domestic violence**

**Stories of witnesses and survivors**

**Christian Rainey**

All of the life events Christian Rainey expected to celebrate with his mother and siblings — the graduations, the weddings, the kids, the grandkids — are gone now, wiped out by one man’s rampage.

Rainey was especially close to his mother, Detra, a tough single parent with five young children. But after Rainey left for college, Detra married Michael Anthony Simmons.

From afar, Rainey didn’t know much about their relationship.

When Detra was diagnosed with colon cancer and underwent chemotherapy, it left her weak and vulnerable. Rainey wrestled with whether to come home to care for her.

“She needed me, and I wasn’t there,” Rainey said.

He was away at college in 2006 when it happened. Simmons, then 41, was charged with shooting and killing Detra inside their North Charleston home. Police say he then turned the gun on William Lee Rainey, 16; Hakiem Rainey, 13; Malachi Robinson, 8; and Samenia Robinson, 6 — all of Rainey’s brothers and sisters.

“For the first time, I really knew what hate felt like,” recalls Rainey, now a North Charleston firefighter.

More than 2,000 people attended their funerals.

A judge later found Simmons mentally unfit to stand trial, and he was confined to a secure state mental hospital.

Shortly after the killings, Rainey got a dog, a female pit bull named Isis, who became his beloved friend. She’s almost 8 years old now, and Rainey dreads the day Isis will die, leaving him alone again.
S.C.’s domestic violence laws

Criminal domestic violence is the harm or injury to a household member, defined as a spouse, a former spouse, someone you share a child with or a man and woman who live together or have lived together.

- Criminal domestic violence first-offense is punishable by 30 days in jail or a fine of up to $2,500. The court may suspend the fine if the offender completes a program designed for batterers.

- Criminal domestic violence second-offense is punishable by a mandatory minimum sentence of 30 days in jail or a maximum of one year in jail. The offense also carries a fine of up to $5,000. A judge may suspend the fine or jail time beyond the mandatory minimum if the offender completes a program designed for batterers.

- Criminal domestic violence third-offense is a felony punishable by a mandatory minimum of one year in jail and up to five years in prison.

- Criminal domestic violence of a high and aggravated nature is a felony concerning assaults that involve a deadly weapon, result in serious injury or cause someone to fear for their life. The offense carries a penalty of between one and 10 years incarceration.

- Violating an order of protection related to a domestic situation or family violence is punishable with a sentence of 30 days in jail and up to a $500 fine.

— Source: S.C. Code of Laws
Tradition, pride, religion and patriarchy are a dangerous mix for Bible Belt women in their relationships.
Oconee County, where six people died in domestic killings within six months in 2012, embodies many of the cultural traits that have made South Carolina the most dangerous state in the nation for women. Unlike the state as a whole, which has done next to nothing, Oconee took the killings as a call to action, galvanizing law enforcement, religious leaders and residents to confront the problem.

Yet old ways die slowly in rural corners like Oconee County, where God and traditional family values have long forged the backbones of life. Here, deep notions linger about the hallowed institution of marriage and a woman’s place in the home.

“There is a belief that men are totally dominant and women are supposed to be in the bedroom and the kitchen,” Black says. Like many in the Bible Belt, she considered divorce a sin and a source of shame, despite the beatings she endured.

“You can die, but you can’t get divorced.”

Women as chattel

South Carolina has been a patriarchal society from its very inception, and women have long been relegated to a secondary status.

They lacked the right to serve on juries here until 1967, and the Palmetto State didn’t formally ratify the 19th Amendment giving women the right to vote until two years later. Women couldn’t file for divorce in South Carolina until 1949. Marital rape wasn’t criminalized until 1991.

Progress has been made, but the state still struggles with challenges that impede women’s ability to advance. Only seven states have higher rates of women living in poverty. Just two states have lower per capita incomes. And only eight have worse rates of high school graduation.

South Carolina now has its first female governor, but the state ranks 49th in the nation for the number of women elected to its legislature, according to the Center for American Women and Politics. And that’s an improvement. For the decade until 2012, it ranked dead last every year.

Against this backdrop, it’s easy to see why domestic violence hasn’t garnered more attention in the Statehouse. When legislation goes before the state Senate, a lone woman sits among the men casting votes.

Carol Sears Botsch, associate professor of political
science at the University of South Carolina in Aiken, explored the role of women in South Carolina politics in a 2003 report. She found a male-dominated power structure that often failed to see problems from the perspective of women. As a result, public policies were rooted in traditional notions that "simply reinforced women's subordinate status."

Brian Rawl, a Charleston County magistrate who handles domestic violence cases, puts it more bluntly: "We're transforming from a social acceptance of a woman being chattel."

The late political scientist Daniel Elazar described South Carolina as the “most traditionalist state in the union,” with a political culture geared toward preserving a status quo that often benefits the values of personal liberty. It is a place that champions limited government and taxation, cherishes its Second Amendment rights and trumpets the values of personal liberty.

Most Southern states share this model. They also share a propensity for violence. Four of the 10 states with the most shameful rates of men killing women are in the South: Tennessee, West Virginia, Louisiana — and, at No. 1, South Carolina.

Too close to home

Nestled in the foothills of the Blue Ridge Mountains, with rolling hills, quaint small towns and a trio of crystal blue lakes, Oconee County looks like a place people might go to escape the perils of modern society.

Drawing its name from a Cherokee word meaning "land beside the water," Oconee is home to wild rivers and cascading waterfalls. It’s a picturesque place that bears the nickname “South Carolina’s Golden Corner.”

But Mike Crenshaw, the county’s sheriff, has seen a darker side as well.

In 2012, the year before Crenshaw took office, six county residents died in a trio of domestic killings. A 70-year-old Walhalla man fatally shot his wife on his July birthday, and then turned the gun on himself. A day later, a 34-year-old Westminster man committed suicide after gunning down his 11-year-old stepdaughter and critically wounding his wife. Four months after that, an 86-year-old Westminster man beat and stabbed his girlfriend to death, then killed the woman’s granddaughter.

Crenshaw, then a sheriff’s deputy, questioned why law enforcement didn’t do more to stop the bloodshed. He...
made the issue part of his campaign for sheriff, vowing to do more. Then, five days after he took office in January 2013, a 58-year-old Seneca woman was shot to death by her live-in boyfriend, who later committed suicide. The bloody scene shook Crenshaw to the core. “I left that morning feeling helpless because with all of these cases, there was no call history to law enforcement at all. We had not been aware of any problems within those families,” he says. “It got me thinking that we have to get to these folks somehow. It made me realize this is not just a law enforcement issue: it’s a community issue.”

But getting people to talk about the issue can prove a challenge in itself. It’s not easy to overcome a culture of abuse that became “somewhat of an accepted behavior” in South Carolina, Crenshaw says. “It’s going to take some time to change that mindset.”

‘Back into a burning house’

Family violence has long lingered in the shadows in Oconee — and across South Carolina. That’s because it’s largely been viewed as a family issue, something to be dealt with in the home. “The culture does not see domestic violence as a public health issue, which is what it really is,” says Mindi Spencer, an assistant professor of Southern Studies and Public Health at the University of South Carolina in Columbia. Ninth Circuit Solicitor Scarlett Wilson, who oversees prosecutions in Charleston and Berkeley counties, agrees: “Even if we had unlimited shelters all over the place, I think culturally we don’t offer that support to victims. Nobody wants to hear about it.”

Crenshaw and representatives from Greenville-based Safe Harbor, which runs the new Oconee shelter, set out to break through that reticence. They met with community leaders, business people and representatives from the schools. They sat down with judges to push for stricter sentences for domestic violence, a crime that had been treated like “a traffic ticket, just a slap on the wrist.”

They also talked with clergy to challenge age-old beliefs that domestic unrest was best resolved in the home — an approach that many times made the situation worse. “The ministers told us, ‘It’s really a family issue. They need to work that out,’” Crenshaw says. “But in some cases that’s like telling a victim to go running back into a burning house.”

What pastors communicate to their flocks also can fuel the problem, if inadvertently: Scripture says women are to be submissive. Suffering is part of life, as Jesus suffered for your sins, on the path to salvation. Divorce is a sin.

The Rev. Mark Bagwell of Golden Corner, a contemporary Baptist church in Oconee’s small town of Walhalla, concedes that religious vows and teachings have kept women from leaving their abusers. Churches have played a major role in making women feel that “God would be disappointed in them if they left their husband,” he says. “The church has not always been a place of refuge.”

Turning a blind eye

Oconee County is certainly not alone in dealing with that issue. A few years ago, when community activist Marlvis “Butch” Kennedy first tried to train Charleston-area pastors about domestic violence, he’d hear things like: “That doesn’t happen in my church.” “The church believes marriage is a godly institution. Nothing should come between a man and wife,” Ken-
nedy says. “It’s a very slippery slope.”

In churches that did acknowledge abuse, Kennedy says, pastors often compounded the problem by counseling abusers and victims together — and then sending them home with the sting of their shared grievances still fresh. Back behind closed doors, the abuser would take out his frustrations on his partner all over again.

Today, pastors seem far more receptive to training from a local group he founded, Real MAD (Real Men Against Domestic Violence/Abuse). “The mentality is changing over time,” Kennedy says.

Bagwell agrees. He now takes a broader view of the dynamics involved in domestic violence and warns others of the potential dangers. He’s seen other pastors do the same.

“I’m grateful that ‘till death do us part’ is changing,” he says.

How far this awakening has spread is open to debate. A nationwide survey conducted in May by LifeWay Research found that 42 percent of pastors never or rarely speak about domestic violence. Less than a quarter speak about the issue once a year.

Among pastors who do preach about it? Only 25 percent say it’s a problem in their own pews.

Answering prayers

Before escaping her husband, long before the opening of the new Oconee County women’s shelter, Jenna Henson Black prayed to God that her husband would change.

For 18 years, she prayed he would stop beating her. She was praying for the wrong thing.

“It didn’t work. But it taught me that God will provide a way to escape,” she says. “God didn’t change him. He changed me.”

In the 10 years since she fled, Black has remarried and become a minister with her second husband at Grace Family, a non-denominational Protestant church in Seneca.

Now 66, Black realizes the problem wasn’t God or faith or commitment to her marriage. The problem was her ex-husband.

“I had a commitment to marriage, for better or worse, ‘till death do us part,’” Black says. “But when the death part came too close, I knew the Lord didn’t want me to be killed by my husband.”

Silence from the pulpit?

In mid-July, The Post and Courier contacted more than 30 diverse Lowcountry ministers by email and asked two questions:

Have you ever preached about domestic violence?

Have you ever heard a sermon about it?

One, a Mormon, said he’d heard a sermon tackling the pervasive problem. Four responded that they had at least mentioned it.

The rest either didn’t respond or said no to both questions.

That informal poll was in line with the results of a nationwide study commissioned this summer by Sojourners and IMA World Health, whose leaders wanted to gauge the views of Protestant Christians (who make up the vast majority of South Carolinians).

Results showed “an overwhelming majority of the faith leaders surveyed (74%) underestimate the level of sexual and domestic violence experienced within their congregations, leading to infrequent discussions of the issue from the pulpit as well as a lack of appropriate support for victims,” the study’s authors wrote.

Some pastors are battling against the trend. Just last month, the Rev. Jeremy Rutledge stood before his flock in the Circular Congregational Church in Charleston and tried to dispel theologies that have kept victims trapped.

“Suffering is just suffering. It hurts and it is difficult,” Rutledge preached. “Our job is to take care of each other when suffering comes: to do what we can to ameliorate it and to address its underlying causes, and to be careful never to romanticize it or paper over it with strange religious ideas.”
**Second Amendment stronghold**

South Carolina is a state that fiercely defends its Second Amendment gun rights, though firearms have clearly been the weapon of choice for men who kill their intimate partners.

Guns were used in 65 percent of all killings of women in domestic violence over the last 10 years in the state, a Post and Courier analysis shows. The state also has the sixth-highest rate of gun violence in the country, according to a study by the American Center for Progress.

Still, guns remain an integral part of the Palmetto State’s culture. Hunting is a favored pastime and a rite of passage for many children. It’s anyone’s guess just how many guns are floating around South Carolina, but more than 900,000 hunting licenses are in circulation and nearly 241,000 people hold concealed weapons permits from the state.

Southern states have some of the nation’s highest per capita homicide rates (Louisiana, South Carolina, Mississippi and Tennessee all rank in the top 10) and share higher gun ownership rates with rural Western states, according to 2010 data from the U.S. Census Bureau and FBI.

**‘Culture of honor’**

Surprisingly little research has examined the role South Carolina’s culture plays in domestic abuse and homicides, considering the state’s rate of men killing women is more than twice the national average.

One often-cited study about violent tendencies in Southern men came from Richard E. Nisbett, distinguished professor of psychology at the University of Michigan.

His research revealed a Southern “culture of honor,” one in which for generations a man’s reputation has been central to his economic survival — and in which insults to that justify a violent response. “We have very good evidence that Southerners and Northerners react differently to insults,” Nisbett said. “In the South, if someone insults you, you should respond. If the grievance is enough, you react with violence or the threat of violence.”

In a clinical study, Nisbett subjected Northern and Southern men to a test. Someone bumped into them and called them a profane term. The reaction: stress hormones and testosterone levels elevated far more in Southern men.

“He gets ready to fight,” said Nisbett, coauthor of “Culture of Honor: The Psychology of Violence in The South.”

How does it apply to domestic violence? Men who perceive their women have insulted them — by not keeping up the house, by talking back or flirting with someone else — launch into attack mode to preserve their power.

“That’s what is behind a lot of men hitting women,” Nisbett said. “It’s the woman’s faithlessness, or perceived faithlessness.”

---

**Dolly Ritchie**

Faces of domestic violence
Stories of witnesses and survivors

The man told Dolly Ritchie everything she wanted to hear. Her marriage had broken up and she had no job and no money. She felt alone and vulnerable as a single mother with a 4-year-old son.

Ritchie soaked up the attention. “I clung to him,” she said, especially when he told her not to worry about a job or money or anything because he would take care of everything.

They moved in together, planned a wedding. “We were very happy,” she said. Then they moved out of South Carolina for a job he wanted, but it fell through.

He started drinking and forcing her to have sex. He did it roughly, very roughly and intensely, sometimes several times in one day. “He would rip me, I couldn’t walk.” He threatened her, cursed her, and took money she had saved, she said.

She wanted to leave but was afraid and didn’t know where to go or how. Then a church helped her get into a shelter.

Now, she lives in the Charleston area and is studying to earn a paralegal certificate.

She wants other women to know “that with love and support they can fight overwhelming odds to survive domestic violence. They can get help and they can get away and start a new life.”
For 13 years, Therese D’Encarnacao stayed with her husband through the biting insults and accusations: You’re fat. You’re ugly. Nobody else will want you.

She stayed through the times he hit her. She stayed through his chronic health problems and depression and unemployment.

She stayed until the day Keith Eddinger walked into their long, narrow master bathroom and pointed a gun at her head. He calmly shot her between the eyes. Then he killed himself.

At first, Keith was a gentleman, a welder who shared her love of fishing and camping. He took an interest in her young son. And an interest in her.

Fresh from a failed marriage to her high school sweetheart, Therese desperately wanted someone to love her. So for 13 years, she endured the abuse, partly out of hope, largely out of fear.

When she finally told her husband she wanted out, Keith got his gun.

Very real fear

Why do women stay in — and return to — abusive relationships, even until their deaths?

The question is central to helping them.

And the fact that women do stay so often provides a convenient excuse to blame victims rather than the men who pull triggers (or knives or fists). A lack of understanding prompts many, lawmakers included, to turn their backs on the pervasive, deadly problem.

It’s not a simple question to answer.

Experts and survivors both describe an all-ensnaring web of hope, culture, dependence, fear, religion and even love that binds women to their abusers. But mostly it comes down to what he controls — which often is everything, even her life.

The late state Rep. John Graham Altman sparked a furor in 2005 when he told a reporter that domestic violence victims are at fault if they return to their abusers.

He had just been asked why the House Judiciary Committee wanted to make cockfighting a felony but tabled a bill that would have done the same for domestic violence.
The woman ought to not be around the man,” Altman said. “I mean you women want it one way and not another. Women want to punish the men, and I do not understand why women continue to go back around men who abuse them. And I’ve asked women that and they all tell me the same answer, ’John Graham, you don’t understand.’ And I say, ’You’re right, I don’t understand.’”

He’s not alone.

Many people don’t realize that when a woman tries to leave, or press charges, she is in the most danger she will face.

For 25 years, Elmire Raven, a domestic violence survivor herself, has led the charge at Charleston’s shelter for abused women, My Sister’s House.

The shelter includes this warning on its website: “The most dangerous time for a victim is when leaving the relationship. Fifty percent of injuries and 75 percent of domestic homicides occur after the relationship ends.”

“It’s a very real fear,” Raven said.

That day in 2010, when Keith got his gun and shot her, Therese had just told him she wanted a divorce. Keith didn’t want anyone else to have her.

After he fired a bullet into his wife’s head, Keith walked a few feet away and took his life. Little could he know that Therese would survive.

Cultivating fear

The first time Keith became violent, he slapped her with an open palm, damaging her ear drum. Her son, then about 9 years old, was in the house.

Another time, he punched her in the stomach. She was pregnant and, later, miscarried.

After that, she called her first husband, with whom she remained friends, to come get their son and keep him safe. He offered to take Therese with him, too, but she remained friends, to come get their son and keep him safe. He offered to take Therese with him, too, until she could find her own place.

Therese stayed.

While many abused women stay out of fear of violence, Therese’s fear drew from a different well. Hers was a deep and unrelenting fear of being alone, fear of what Keith threatened: Nobody will want you but me.

Raised Catholic, she also was devoted to preserving her vows.

For better, for worse, for richer, for poorer, in sickness and health…

Early in their marriage, Keith nearly died from a respiratory disorder. He suffered arthritis and spent long spells not working, in pain and depressed.

And Therese was a nurse, not someone who abandoned the sick. She wanted to help Keith heal and return to the man she loved.

But as he got sicker, the psychological abuse and control grew more intense, the violence replaced by a barrage of insults, demands and suspicions. A friend urged: “You’ve got to leave him. He’s making you crazy.”

And she did leave, multiples times.

But Keith had this way of badgering, of cajoling and promising, until she returned.

In 2006, she moved out and lived in another state. After avoiding him for six months, he found her number. She answered the phone.

“I should have hung up on him. But I didn’t,” she recalled. “He had done a lot of changing again, and I did let him come back. I did love him.”

Besides, to hear Keith tell it, without him she would remain alone and unwanted forever.

“I just remember the fear. It’s always an abuser’s main weapon – fear,” she said. “They beat you down so much verbally that you lose yourself. It’s toxic.”

Other women fear becoming homeless, lost to the streets with their children in tow.

Only 35 percent of victims arriving at My Sister’s House have jobs. “They are in survival mode,” Raven said.

It’s especially tough for stay-at-home moms with limited workplace skills and no independent income, said Alison Piepmeier, director of the College of Charleston’s Women’s and Gender Study Program.

“There’s not even a choice. There’s no way out,” Piepmeier said.

Love, absolutely

Survivors often describe falling in love with charming men whose abuse began well into their relationships. Therein lies the hope. If only that man would come back.

Raven has seen it over and over: “Love, absolutely.” Instead, many victims find themselves stuck in cycles of building tension — over dinners not prepared right, homes not cleaned just right, bills not paid, mouths not kept shut — much like a rubber band stretching and tightening with every sidestepped conflict. Until it snaps.

After the violence comes the so-called “honeymoon phase,” a time when he goes back to being the man she loves.

The seesaw of violence and passion “is like a Harlequin romance on steroids,” said Patricia Warner, project manager of the Domestic Violence Homicide Prevention Initiative at MUSC’s National Crime Victims Center.

The woman thinks: “It’s over now. He says he loves me and he’ll not do it again,” said Warner, who also directs the Tri-County Domestic Violence Coordinating Council.

Yet, Mr. Hyde still lurks.

Verbal beat-downs

As Keith’s health worsened, the abuse and control worsened, too, especially behind closed doors. He became obsessed with the belief Therese was cheating on him.

“As he lost control over his life, he tried to take control of mine,” Therese recalled. “He was a master manipulator.”
A chatty and outgoing woman, Therese recalled increasingly harsh “verbal beat-downs.”

Once, Keith was a patient on her hospital floor. When she arrived at work one day, he accused her of sleeping with someone while she was gone.

He demanded she pull down her pants so he could check. She complied, caught up as she’d become in the insanity, the insecurity of his abuse.

Searching for a way out

In 2010, Keith had just come home from visiting his family in Arkansas. With him gone, Therese’s days in their North Charleston home had turned peaceful and quiet.

She realized what life could be like without him.

“It was like being tortured 24/7. I couldn’t live that way anymore,” she said.

She told him she was done. She wanted a divorce.

As usual, he chased her around the house, launching a tirade of pleas and insults. Stressed, Therese finally sank into a hot bath to relax.

Before she faced him again, she got out, dried off and sat down on the toilet of their master bathroom.

She didn’t know her husband had a new handgun.

When Keith walked in, she turned to him. “If I can’t have you, nobody can,” he said calmly. From about 5 feet away, she watched him fire.

Victim, survivor

Of females killed with a firearm, almost two-thirds are killed by their intimate partners. Therese nearly joined that Violence Policy Center statistic.

But as Keith walked a few feet away, shot himself and died, Therese fought to live.

She spent three weeks in the hospital and remains blind in one eye. The bullet penetrated a facial nerve and damaged her inner ear. She lost hearing and suffers excruciating migraines. Now 48, her short-term memory isn’t great. She wears dark glasses and a hearing aid.

“There is a .25-caliber bullet in back of my brain, courtesy of him,” she said.

But Therese also is a survivor.

She survived to become a grandma and to realize the peace of independence. Today, she shares her story with other women and even jail inmates to prevent the ceaseless tally of deaths from domestic violence, to encourage abused women to escape before it’s too late.
Till death do us part

CHAPTER 4

Efforts elsewhere show authorities can respond more effectively and help stop escalating abuse and violence before it ends in murder.

No more missed opportunities

Teacora Thomas did everything she could think of to protect herself from her estranged husband, who had beaten her bloody in an obsessive campaign to do her harm.

She changed the locks on her Richland County home. She armed herself with pepper spray and a stun gun. She filed charges against him after body blows and a kick to the head put her in the hospital. And she got a court order barring him from making any attempts to contact her.

None of this made a difference on the morning of Oct. 15, 2012, when Thomas returned home with her mother to gather belongings so she could move away. Dexter Boulware lay in wait for her in a closet. He had broken in while she was gone.

“You all going to die today,” he hissed, leaping from his hiding place, a pistol gripped in his hand.

She tried to escape, but Boulware grabbed his wife, aimed the gun at her and made good on his promise. She died before reaching a hospital.

Thomas’ case illustrates the problem with South Carolina’s fractured approach to dealing with domestic violence. A number of people, from police to social workers, have a hand in protecting women from abuse, but a lack of resources, communication and coordination leave dangerous gaps in the web of support.

Police arrest abusers. Magistrates set bail. Doctors tend to wounds. Counselors shelter victims. Judges issue restraining orders. Prosecutors prepare for trial. But often, these players work independently from one another, passing the baton back and forth without sharing information, assess-

Richland County investigators confer outside the home where 27-year-old Teacora Thomas (top right) was shot to death by her estranged husband, Dexter Boulware (bottom), in October 2012. Boulware is serving a 45-year prison sentence for Thomas’ murder.
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Municipal Judge Joe Mendelsohn presides over the Criminal Domestic Violence court in Charleston in August. Here and in similar courts across the state, winning a conviction often takes a woman having the courage to testify against her man.

**Chances missed to stop violence**

In South Carolina, the rate of women killed by men is higher than the national average. This failure of communication helps explain why the state leads the nation when it comes to the rate of women killed by men.

Two years after Teacora Thomas' death, Richland County Sheriff Leon Lott acknowledged that she took almost every step available to protect herself. But as for his department, he said, "I don't know if we could have done anything differently."

Therein lies the problem: Even in an urban county such as Richland, which boasts one of the state's better law enforcement operations, the missed opportunities to save Thomas were numerous.

Boulware's increasing violence toward Thomas triggered no special response from deputies or court officials, even after he beat her so ferociously that she ended up in a hospital. No one took the time to evaluate the danger he presented. Once he was arrested for that assault, a judge let him go almost immediately on a personal promise to appear for his court hearing. She obtained a protective order, but no special efforts were made to keep tabs on Boulware until he left threatening messages on her phone the day before her murder.

Thomas was left to save herself at a time when she was most vulnerable.

Jacquelyn Campbell, a Johns Hopkins University professor who is one of the nation's leading experts on domestic violence, said Thomas' case illustrates a complete failure in the fight against domestic violence.

"If there was a community-wide strategy to address high-risk domestic violence cases, there are several things that could have been done," she said. "Every-

---

**Stopping the progression of abuse**

Nearly a third of all women murdered in the U.S. are killed by "intimate partners," according to the U.S. Bureau of Justice Statistics. A model pioneered in Massachusetts and adopted elsewhere seeks to reduce these homicides through a team approach in which police, prosecutors, victim advocates, probation officers and others work to identify high-risk domestic violence cases and get abused women out of harm's way.

It works this way:

- Team members review and share information on domestic violence calls in their area, assessing each situation for the potential danger the woman faces and whether abuse is escalating.
- The team focuses on high-risk cases and develops specific safety plans to meet the needs of each victim. Though shelters are an option, the team tries to avoid disrupting the woman's life. Instead, they place the burden of accountability on the abuser.
- High-risk offenders can be placed on satellite monitoring to ensure compliance with restraining orders or they can be sent to a "dangerousness" hearing to determine if they should be detained until trial as a preventative measure.
- Police are alerted when an offender ventures into a victim's safety zone or violates a restraining order, and he is quickly sent back to jail.
one has to get on board. It has to be a coordinated response.”

Richland County clearly is not alone in this plight. Examples abound of similar tragedies across the state.

There was Cindy Koon, who was beaten, strangled and stabbed, allegedly by her husband, in 2012 following a three-year stretch in which Newberry County deputies had been called to the couple’s Prosperity home 24 times for domestic disputes. Or 46-year-old Donna Parker, blasted with a shotgun in a North Myrtle Beach parking lot in 2008 after seeking a restraining order and calling police on her estranged husband a dozen times in a two-month period. Or Susann Burrell, 51, who was left to fend for herself in Anderson after her estranged husband beat her with a baseball bat in 2008. He then returned home three months later and killed her with a shotgun, stuffing her body in a trash bin, where she bled to death.

In each case, and many others like them, warning signs were clear, but the victims were ultimately left to their own devices.

A better way

That’s not the way it should be, or has to be, based on the experience of other states employing far more aggressive approaches to curbing domestic violence.

In Maryland and several Massachusetts communities, police, prosecutors, domestic advocates and probation officers work in teams to identify high-risk domestic violence cases, share information and rapidly connect abused women with services to help them escape harm.

The teams employ numerous techniques to calm the situation and alter the dynamics at play, including placing the abuser under surveillance, removing guns from his home and getting him counseling.

The Massachusetts effort, spearheaded by the Jeanne Geiger Crisis Center in the small coastal city of Newburyport, got its start after the 2002 murder of resident Dorothy Giunta-Cotter, who died trying to escape a husband who had abused her for two decades.

After years of enduring beatings and threats, fleeing to shelters and getting restraining orders, she took a stand, returned to her home and got an order of protection. He broke in anyway, held her hostage and shot her dead.

As counselors and authorities sorted through the events that led to her death, they came up with a strategy aimed at shifting the onus for protection away from victims. Instead, offenders are held more accountable for their behavior. The strategy includes using GPS technology to track the offender’s movements, making sure he doesn’t go near the victim. It also includes “preventative detention” to hold high-risk offenders without bail until trial.

This creates a cooling-off period in which a victim can get help without being in imminent danger. And she can do so without having to uproot her life and seek sanctuary in a shelter, said Kelly Dunne, operations chief for the Geiger Center.

“Going to a shelter literally means ripping them from their jobs, forcing them to pull their kids out of school and going to a community they may never have been to — and they have to do this sight unseen,” Dunne said. “It always seems so unfair what we are asking these women to do. They were the victims of a crime, yet they are the ones whose lives have to be completely disrupted.”

Maryland’s system went statewide in 2003 and reports a relatively steady decline in domestic killings since that time, dropping from about 70 to 80 each year to between 40 and 50.

The Massachusetts group has intervened in 129 cases in the greater Newburyport area since 2005 with not a single killing occurring among the women it served. Another 25 high-risk teams have been created across the Bay State to replicate this strategy in their communities.

Last year, the U.S. Justice Department awarded a $2.3 million grant to help the two groups train others in their methods, and North Charleston is among 12 communities under consideration to get that help.

South Carolina’s third-largest city has led Charleston County in domestic violence incidents in recent years. It is participating in a year-long review to determine ways it could improve its response to the problem, whether through better reporting of crimes, reforming the court process or holding offenders more ac-

About two dozen people, including Alicia Alvarez (center), hold hands in prayer before participating in a walk at St. John’s High School on Johns Island to demonstrate support for victims of domestic violence.
countable. Six cities will eventually be chosen to receive additional training and aid in putting those plans into action.

The Lexington County Sheriff’s Department in South Carolina’s Midlands has already implemented a system similar to those in Maryland and Massachusetts.

Lexington employs a special investigative unit that tracks domestic violence cases and coordinates prosecution, court action and services. The Sheriff’s Department said the effort has been so successful that the county can go a year at a time without a domestic homicide. And it’s rare for any abused woman to be killed if the special unit has identified a problem and begun working with the couple, sheriff’s officials said.

The odds of murder

These programs are rooted in pioneering research that Campbell, the Johns Hopkins professor, conducted back in the 1980s. Her study showed domestic violence tends to follow predictable patterns as it intensifies toward a deadly conclusion.

Violence moved along a continuum, escalating from harsh words and threats to physical abuse. Acts such as choking proved to be key signs of a potentially lethal outcome. Campbell also determined that these women faced the greatest danger during times of change, when they tried to leave their abuser, got pregnant or started a new job. The threat was greatest during the first three months after the life change occurred but dropped dramatically after a year’s time.

These findings led Campbell to develop the “Danger Assessment Tool,” a 20-item checklist of risk factors that gauges a domestic violence victim’s likelihood of being murdered. Some of the risk factors include past death threats, an intimate partner’s employment status, and that person’s access to a gun.

“Victims need knowledge,” Campbell said, “and this shows them the danger they are in.”

The assessment can be used to persuade a victim to go to a shelter or seek other help. It enables police and counselors to know when to be extra watchful with both the victim and the abuser. It also provides prosecutors with an ability to know which abusers should be jailed or released only with strong restrictions.

In the Teacora Thomas case in Richland County, such an assessment might have prevented the killing from occurring, Campbell said.

“Teacora could have worked with a domestic violence agency to understand her danger better, using the Danger Assessment or some other tool to assess risk, and safety plan accordingly,” she said. “She should have been working with the police instead of her mother in gathering her belongings because evidence has shown that one of the most dangerous times for domestic abuse victims is when they take steps to leave the abuser.”

While courting, Tina Abbasi’s Iranian mother chose between two men: one Canadian, one American. She chose the American Dream. Farah Abbasi arrived speaking no English, isolated from her family and dependent on her husband, Asghar Eliaderani, a slight, outwardly friendly man who runs a minimart in Socastee. They slept in separate bedrooms. Tina witnessed endless nights of her father beating her mother’s door, screaming until it broke open. He’d pull Farah’s hair, choke her, threaten her and brought a gun into their volatile home, Tina recalls.

“As much as it was physical abuse, it also was mental abuse,” Tina says. “He made her feel like a little person.”

Finally, Farah sought divorce in 2008. Weeks later, 15-year-old Tina held a phone in each hand, her father on a landline, a 911 dispatcher on a cellphone.

“Where’s Mom, Dad? Give Mom the phone,” she recalls telling her father. “She can’t come to the phone, she’s busy” he replied. Tina heard a croaky breathing sound. Then the phone went “dead quiet.”

Two years later, Tina and her brother, Justin, spoke against their dad at his sentencing. A jury found Eliaderani, then 55, guilty of the lesser charge of involuntary manslaughter. He served 2½ years in prison.

“He could control it. He’s not sick. He’s smart,” Tina says. “He got away with murder.”
Deadly lessons
That lesson has been demonstrated many times in South Carolina. Last year in February, 34-year-old Kendra Nakeel Johnson was shot dead in Florence, her ex-boyfriend accused of killing her because he couldn’t deal with her leaving him. Five months later, Robert Hud, 44, of Anderson was charged with killing his estranged wife when their marriage disintegrated. Then in December, 18-year-old Sierra Landry was shot dead in Lancaster about six months after a court told her estranged boyfriend to stay away from her. He’s now awaiting trial on a murder charge.

South Carolina’s Criminal Justice Academy teaches elements of the danger assessment to officers. It’s part of a refocused focus on domestic violence that boosted training on the subject from four hours to 40 a few years back. Brian Bennett, a domestic violence instructor at the academy, said it’s important for officers to be keen observers and understand the warning signs that more violence may lie ahead.

“A lot happens with words, but once they start putting hands on intimate partners in an attempt to do harm, that shows the control most normal people have over their behavior is starting to be lost,” he said. “And for that to move to an even higher level is not that far off.”

Perhaps so, but few police departments around the state use the assessment. Some agencies contacted by The Post and Courier had never heard of the tool.

The Spartanburg Police Department is the only law enforcement agency in the state that has received formal training in use of the Lethality Assessment Program, an adaptation of Campbell’s research for use by police and other first responders. The Maryland Network Against Domestic Violence developed the program, which has been taught to police agencies in 32 states.

Spartanburg Police Capt. Regina Nowak said the department began using the program, with some local alterations, about a year ago to “give officers another tool in their belts.”

In the past, she said, officers often left the scene of domestic calls frustrated because the couple refused to cooperate when they arrived, or the woman was too afraid to press charges. With the assessment, she said, the officers can question the victim more effectively and help her see the danger she is in.

If the victim answers “yes” to any one of three critical questions, Nowak said, the officer immediately calls a 24-hour phone line staffed by a trained “lethality screener” who attempts to intervene to help the woman get to a shelter.

With this, Nowak said, officers get a chance to do something constructive, and the victim gets help.

In the secrecy of home
While these tactics have made a difference, they still don’t reach an untold number of women who endure beatings in the privacy of their homes and never tell anyone.

Women such as Alicia Alvarez.
She kept quiet for years about the verbal trashing, body blows and choke holds she received from the man she once lived with. It was embarrassing, shameful, too hurtful to share. That didn’t change until she saw something the last time he assaulted her.

As she slipped toward unconsciousness, his hands tightening around her neck, she caught a glimpse of her terrified 5-year-old son, trembling as he helplessly watched the attack.

Alvarez vowed that if she survived she would take her two children and run. Her last thought as she passed out: “I can’t let my kids see this.”

Shortly after she recovered, the Charleston woman told her abuser she was taking the kids on a shopping trip to Wal-Mart. She drove instead to a safe haven out of state and stayed away.

That was several years ago, and Alvarez and her children have since returned to the Charleston area. She’s happier now, but vividly recalls the “psychic hold” that made her stay with her abuser without ever reporting anything to police.

Now, Alvarez wants to reach out to other victims so they know they can get out. People also need to “be nosy” and pay attention to relatives, friends and neighbors because domestic abuse thrives in secret inside the home, she said.

Aside from scattered billboards and some proclamations during Domestic Violence Awareness Month in October, South Carolina has no comprehensive effort to reach silent victims. The state lacks a coordinated campaign to address a social epidemic the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention calls “a serious, preventable public health problem that affects millions of Americans.”

Michael Cohen, executive director of the Maryland Network Against Domestic Violence, contends that major outreach is necessary if a state is to make serious strides against domestic abuse. Her group runs a statewide campaign that includes taking brochures and other information to hospitals, clinics and salons. To reach these silent women, they emphasize some alternatives to seeking haven in a shelter, such as counseling, legal assistance, safety planning, a help hotline and follow-up visits.

“It’s hard to know how many people are saved,” Cohen said. But she said studies show that women who use domestic violence services are almost never the victim of murder or attempted murder.

Dunne, from Massachusetts, agreed: “I truly believe that many of these homicides that occur are preventable.”
A young woman cowers in the passenger seat of a banged-up Cadillac, blood oozing from scratches carved in her face. She weeps as a North Charleston police officer escorts her boyfriend in handcuffs from a nearby bungalow. Barefoot, with jeans sagging off his slender frame, the boyfriend glowers when asked how his girlfriend was injured.

“We fighting,” he answers with a shrug.

Police charge the boyfriend with criminal domestic violence, but he doesn’t spend long behind bars. He posts bail within hours and is released. Then, the charge goes away entirely after his girlfriend has second thoughts about testifying.

It’s a familiar tale across South Carolina. Cases against domestic abusers fall apart on a regular basis, allowing them to escape punishment and continue to mistreat the women in their lives — at times, with deadly results. Examples are easy to find.

Nathaniel Beeks, a 47-year-old Greenville County man, was arrested seven times for criminal domestic violence before he strangled his girlfriend to death in April 2011. Derrick Anderson, 34, of Greenwood, had six arrests for domestic violence and eight more for assault before he throttled the life from his ex-girlfriend in 2007.

A number of factors contribute to this problem, from overcrowded court dockets and under-trained police to victims too scared to testify against. Couple these issues with a domestic violence law that treats first-time offenders about the same as shoplifters and litterbugs, and it’s easy to see why abusers go free time and again.

After a 2001 study revealed that more than half of South Carolina’s most serious domestic violence cases never went to trial, then-Attorney General Charlie Condon ordered prosecutors across the state to pursue convictions even when victims refused to cooperate. That policy has continued under each of Condon’s two successors. They’ve also sent in outside attorneys to help prosecute domestic violence in struggling counties with few resources.

Yet 13 years later, state court statistics show similar results: Since July 2012, more than 40 percent of the 8,884 domestic violence cases handled in General Sessions courts were dismissed for one reason or another, according to a Post and Courier analysis.

The state doesn’t track the outcome of charges in magistrate and municipal courts, though they handle the lion’s share of domestic violence cases. One state commission sampling found that more than half of the 5,329 domestic violence cases that landed in magistrate courts between July 2012 and June 2013 were ultimately dismissed.

A Post and Courier analysis of local court data found similar patterns. About six in 10 domestic violence cases that ended up in Charleston and North Charleston municipal courts between 2009 and 2013 were dismissed or dropped by prosecutors. In suburban Mount Pleasant, the figure was around 38 percent.

Cold feet

Prosecutors say a percentage of these cases were thrown out — about 9 percent in Charleston, for example — when the abuser successfully completed a court-ordered counseling program for batterers. The numbers also don’t reflect when a suspect agreed to plead guilty to a charge other than domestic violence, such as simple assault.

But prosecutors acknowledge that a sizable number of cases simply go away for lack of evidence or because the victims back out of testifying.

“Our biggest issue is the lack of cooperation from victims,” 9th Circuit Solicitor Scarlett Wilson said.

Though frustrating, she understands that reticence, as do the police investigating these cases. North Charleston Detective Chris Ross, a 36-year veteran, said battered women often return to their abusers and seek to have charges dropped, either out of love, necessity or fear.

“A lot of them are terrified,” he said. “They are afraid they will face additional retribution, which is a legitimate concern.”
Charleston County Magistrate Brian Rawl said the horror of the whole situation is that these women often have been brutalized for years. Studies show that victims often are assaulted seven times or more before reporting. Some never do.

The 29-year veteran judge recalled the case of an 84-year-old woman who accused her husband of beating her. Rawl asked the woman how long she’d been abused. She replied “our entire 60-year marriage. I have bone cancer now and I can’t have him beat on me.”

Pressing on

S.C. Attorney General Alan Wilson pushes prosecutors to move forward with domestic abuse cases even when victims feel too embarrassed or afraid to cooperate. He tells victims: “This is not your cross to bear. This is my burden. ... He has committed a crime against the state.”

Still, it’s an uphill climb. A “victim-less” prosecution can succeed if an officer witnesses the assault or can find fingerprints, a recording or some other evidence to tie the abuser to the crime. But domestic violence generally takes place within the home and out of sight, with no witnesses but the abuser and his victim. To make a case stick, it usually comes down to the woman testifying against her man.

The dilemma is plain to see in one Friday morning court session in Charleston. A case is dropped after the suspect shows up holding the hand of the girlfriend he’s accused of beating. She tells the prosecutor she just wants the case to go away. Another man soon steps forward with his phone in hand to proffer a text message from the mother of his child. She also has had a change of heart about going forward with charges.

A third man, who has out-of-state convictions for beating women, has his case continued after the girlfriend he is accused of assaulting doesn’t show up for court. When asked where she is, he just scowls and shrugs.

Scant resources

Keep in mind that Charleston is one of the better-equipped cities in the state, with its own designated domestic violence court and a special victims unit with five investigators and three victim advocates. That’s larger than the entire force for 127 police agencies across the state.

In many smaller departments, domestic violence cases are just part of the regular mix for officers. In cities and towns that can’t afford prosecutors, these officers are tasked with presenting the case in court as well. The state’s Criminal Justice Academy in Columbia has boosted training in recent years for front-line officers in the legal and behavioral aspects of domestic violence. Instructors even use role-playing to help cadets understand how batterers intimidate, isolate and manipulate their victims.

Even with this increased focus on the topic, problems remain.

A year-long study of Charleston County domestic violence incidents, published in 2010, found gaps in documenting key evidence on incident reports.

Officers were left to their own discretion to note when a victim had been strangled — a major indicator of escalating violence. When noted, more than 60 percent of officers used the wrong terminology to describe what happened, potentially hampering prosecutions.

Most of the reports failed to document any past violence against the victim or previous charges against the abuser. Police also seldom lodged additional charges against offenders who exposed children to violence. Only seven of the 315 incidents where children were present resulted in an additional charge of child endangerment, the study found.

“The person taking the physical blows isn’t the only victim,” Wilson, the solicitor, said. “There are also the children, and we see the effects of that.”

Zero tolerance: It’s not an anger issue — it’s a crime

Lexington County unit strives to break the cycle of violence

LEXINGTON — Cpl. Steve Gamble watched from a second-floor window in the old county courthouse as people charged with criminal domestic violence arrived to attend hearings on their cases.

The Lexington County sheriff’s deputy waited to see who might show up with a wife, girlfriend or ex-lover they had been ordered to stay away from. It didn’t take long.

A man soon walked in with the woman he’d been accused of assaulting. Big mistake. He’d been barred from contacting her as a condition of bail.

When Gamble confronted him at the front door, the man told the deputy he and the woman just happened to arrive at the same time. It didn’t work, and the man soon admitted she drove him to the courthouse.

Later that morning, Gamble detailed this story for the judge, who was not amused. The judge pronounced the man guilty of contempt and ordered him jailed for 20 days.

As the bailiffs handcuffed the stunned man and led him from the courtroom, he turned to the judge and pleaded, “Your honor! Please! Please!”

That got him nowhere.

Nicole Howland, Lexington County’s criminal domestic abuse prosecutor, said zero tolerance for abusers who violate the terms of their bonds is just one of the
steps necessary to make them realize law enforcement and the courts take the crime very seriously.

“It’s the only way to deal with domestic abusers. Domestic violence is all about accountability.”

Howland is a key member of what the state Attorney General’s Office considers to be the finest criminal domestic violence unit in the state.

The unit has a no-tolerance approach that focuses on jailing batterers or putting them in a 26-week counseling session designed to curb their abusive behavior. Failure to successfully complete the sessions will land the abuser in jail.

People often mistake domestic violence for anger management problems, but it’s not, Howland said. Abusers are calculating and manipulative. To outsiders they can seem charming and fabulous. But at home they “use violence because it works.” With counseling, and the threat of a swift jail sentence, they can be taught not to use it, she said.

The Lexington County Sheriff Department’s special criminal domestic violence unit started in 1999 with a federal grant. It coordinates the efforts of the court, prosecutors, law enforcement, mental health workers and victim advocates. The goal is to increase the safety of victims and hold abusers accountable.

One of the tools employed is a “lethality assessment” to help determine when greater intervention, such as separation, counseling or jail, is needed because of an abuser’s escalating threat of deadly violence. The indicators include killing animals, forced sex, bite marks and strangulation.

Domestic killings used to account for four out of every five homicides, but now the department can go a year at a time without any, Howland said. And, she said, it’s rare for a killing to occur if the criminal domestic violence unit has had any dealings with the couple.

Last year, the Sheriff’s Department saw no cases of domestic homicide. The department’s success may even have some spillover impact in the county’s other police jurisdictions. All together, the county experienced an average of two domestic homicides a year since 2005.

A study conducted four years after the unit started also found results. Researchers from the University of Florida and the University of South Carolina found that the department’s arrests increased 10 percent and the odds of recidivism dropped by half.

The operation was so successful that when the initial federal grant ran out in 2002, the Sheriff’s Department absorbed much of the cost to keep it in operation. The unit currently operates on a $221,213 budget.

The system works because everyone involved is focused on dealing with the crime, the abuser and the victim. It builds experience, knowledge and collaborative capability, Howland said.

She is based at the Sheriff’s Department, working with two dedicated investigators and a full-time victim advocate. When cases go to Lexington’s criminal domestic violence court, the judge can call on advocates and mental health counselors to get victims the help they need. Sheriff’s Cpl. Gamble worked as a road deputy for eight years before joining the unit wanting to help put a dent in domestic violence. “I want to break the cycle,” he said. “I know some are alive today because of us.”

A story of survival: Melissa Davis

When her husband first beat her, Melissa Davis was seven months pregnant with four other little ones, a young woman fresh off a bad first marriage. Melvin Walker Jr. came home drunk, grabbed a cast-iron frying pan and threw it at her. Then he beat her, she says.

Davis was 21 and not working. She stayed out of practical need. “It was either deal with it, or go live in your car,” she recalls.

Over their 13-year marriage, he beat her more and more, she says. The last time was in 2012. That’s when Walker, 47, threw her down and choked her. “I can’t breathe!” She recalls gasping.

Her oldest boys tackled him, and they all raced to her car, she says. Walker came out with a shotgun as she peeled away from their Johns Island home: her first escape in 13 years. She returned the next day.

“One day, he’s gonna change. I think that’s what I lived for, that one day,” Davis says. “But that one day never came.”

Three months later, her son told a school employee he was afraid to go home. Walker, he said, had held a gun to his head.

As police investigated, Davis slipped home to gather things. Walker came in after her, she says.

“Till death do us part” The Post and Courier

“One day, he’s gonna change. I think that’s what I lived for, that one day,” Melissa Davis says. “But that one day never came.”

Walker faces charges including criminal domestic violence, ill treatment of a child and criminal sexual conduct with a minor. Davis’ biggest fear: that her ex-husband will get out and hunt her down.

Walker’s trial date is in October. Their divorce will be finalized in coming weeks.
No warning signs
On an October evening last year, Bryan Sweatt called 911 and asked that an officer be sent to his girlfriend’s home in rural Greenwood County. A dispatcher asked what was wrong.

“Oh, I’m just stressed out and I’m about to take my life,” he replied.

His girlfriend, 26-year-old Chandra Fields, could be heard sobbing and pleading for help in the background. He told the dispatcher he had a .44-caliber pistol. When asked what was going on, Sweatt hung up.

Then, gunshots rang out.
Sheriff’s deputies found Sweatt and Fields dead, along with her parents and two young nephews. Sweatt had executed Fields’ family before calling 911. He saved her for last, then killed himself.

“It’s probably the worst thing I have seen in my 16 years here,” sheriff’s Capt. Jarvis Ritter said.
Ritter was shocked to find Sweatt was capable of such violence. Sweatt, 27, had a lengthy criminal record but mostly for petty larceny, break-ins, forgery and fraud. He’d been arguing with Fields over his desire to see more of their infant child, but deputies saw no indication that it would end this way.

“There was none of that,” he said. “We all knew him. Everyone in law enforcement knew him, but we never knew him to have a violent past. We knew him as a thief. He was just a local thief.”

‘All-American girl’
Jessica Landry noticed the change in her stepdaughter soon after Sierra began dating 18-year-old Tanner Crolley.
Sierra, from Lancaster, had always been an “All-American girl,” popular, bright and close to her family. She suddenly became withdrawn. Her grades slipped and she began avoiding her parents, eventually leaving home altogether.

“She hadn’t been in any trouble until she met this kid,” Jessica Landry said. “But she went right downhill after he came along. It was toxic, and it worried me.

Sierra’s boyfriend became controlling, manipulative and abusive, Jessica Landry said. He got arrested on a domestic violence charge but kept calling and coming around when Sierra tried to leave him, she said.

After months of trouble and family discord, Sierra, 18, returned home in December, vowing she was through with Tanner. She seemed to return to her old self. She was ready to move on, but he wouldn’t let go. He kept calling, posting messages on social media and trying to reach her, Jessica Landry said.

On Dec. 30, after Sierra went to spend the night with friends, Crolley finally convinced her to meet up with him so they could talk. Authorities said the pair ended up arguing outside a Lancaster home. A short time later, the Landrys learned their daughter was dead from a gunshot wound to the head. Crolley was charged with her murder and is awaiting trial.

The Landrys are now pushing to change state law to create a registry of domestic abusers and expand dating violence education and protections for teens. A petition in support of Sierra’s law has drawn more than 300,000 signatures on change.org.

Obsessed and dangerous
Kesha Rudolph assured her mother she could handle the ex-boyfriend who just wouldn’t accept that their 12-year relationship had come to an end.

The 33-year-old Georgetown woman shared a daughter with Eric Smith, but the romance was over. She wanted to move on, but he wouldn’t let go. He kept calling, driving by her house, showing up at places where she went.

One morning, she found her car windows smashed and key marks gouged into the finish as she went to leave for work at a local magistrate’s office. Her mother, Gloria, urged Rudolph to tell the police she was being stalked.

“She would say ‘Mama, don’t worry. I got it. I’ll take care of it,’” she said. “But I did worry. It got to the point where I didn’t like to leave the house while she was there, just to make sure he didn’t come in while she was by herself.”

Rudolph’s mother and daughter were with her on the night of Aug. 31, 2006, when Smith, 38, showed up with a pistol. He blasted the locks off the front door and then kicked open the door to her bedroom. As her mother and 11-year-old daughter looked on in horror, Smith shot Rudolph in the head, killing her.

“I think he would have shot his daughter and me too, but he didn’t have any bullets left in his pistol,” Gloria Rudolph said.

Smith went to prison for 37 years, and Gloria Rudolph was left to raise the couple’s daughter. She doesn’t hesitate when asked what advice she would give to others in her daughter’s situation.

“Just get out and try to think about your safety,” she said. “If they hurt you once, they’ll do it again. Just get a clean break if you can.”
A history of violence

On the morning of March 25, Travis Whitman pleaded guilty to assaulting his wife for the second time. He faced up to a year in jail, but a judge instead placed him on house arrest in the same Pickens County home where deputies had been called 22 times in a seven-month period.

Whitman returned home and began arguing again with his wife. At one point, he swung a knife and threatened to cut her throat. When he grabbed for a rifle, his wife shot him dead with another gun, authorities said. He died on the floor with the rifle still in his hands.

As someone convicted of a domestic assault, federal law barred Whitman from having guns. But South Carolina does little to ensure compliance with that prohibition.

The county’s chief prosecutor declined to press charges against Whitman’s wife, Katherine Henderson, ruling that she acted in self-defense.

Creed Hashe, chief deputy sheriff of Pickens County, sees some benefit in holding offenders for a cooling-off period to prevent tensions from boiling over like they did here.

“The guy pleads guilty to a second offense that morning and then gets back into it that night,” he said. “Had he killed her instead that night, they would have been ready to go after someone with pitchforks in the public square.”

Hashe said part of the problem is resources. The county jail is rated for 94 people but routinely houses more than 200. “It’s not so much that the system has failed as we have no place to put them.”
A chorus of voices that includes police, pastors and politicians has condemned South Carolina’s grisly record of violence toward women. Their words, however, haven’t translated into much action.

South Carolina has made little progress in addressing domestic violence in the year since it was ranked No. 1 in the nation for the rate of women killed by men. And women keep dying.

Domestic violence is an ingrained, complicated and generational problem in the Palmetto State. But that doesn’t mean it cannot be solved.

To produce this series, The Post and Courier reviewed dozens of reports and studies, examined efforts underway in other states and interviewed more than 100 police officers, lawyers, judges, victims, counselors, victim advocates, politicians, clergy and more. This endeavor produced a number of concrete proposals aimed at curbing the bloodshed.

Some proposed fixes would cost money, but most could be accomplished with existing resources and some revisions to the state’s laws. What is really needed is leadership from top elected officials, commitment from each of the state’s counties and the participation of an engaged public.
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13 ways the state can take a stand

**Problem**
Domestic abusers face little actual jail time on a first or second conviction. A first-time offender faces a maximum of 30 days in jail for beating a loved one, about the same penalty he would receive for shoplifting or trespassing. Police, prosecutors and judges contend this doesn’t serve as an effective deterrent and allows abusers to return home at a time when the victim is at the greatest risk for retribution.

**Solution**
Increase the maximum penalty for first-time criminal domestic violence convictions to a year in prison, bringing South Carolina in line with states such as Georgia and Alabama. Legislation was proposed last year to make that change and to increase the maximum penalty for a second offense from one to three years. Those bills, however, died in legislative committees without coming to a vote. Lawmakers have pledged to reintroduce the measures when the legislature reconvenes in January.

House Speaker Bobby Harrell also told The Post and Courier he plans to appoint a committee to study potential changes to the state’s domestic violence laws.

North Charleston police officer Adrian Besancon responds to several domestic violence calls each week. “It’s one of the most dangerous calls you can go on,” he says.

Problem
Restraining orders lack teeth for enforcement, leaving it up to victims to alert authorities when an abuser has crossed the line. The onus is placed on victims to obtain a protective order from Family Court, to watch for potential violations and to have the order on hand in the event police need to be called.

Solution
Require electronic monitoring for those facing restraining orders in pending criminal domestic violence cases, paid for by fees assessed on the offender. High-risk teams in Massachusetts map “hot zones” where offenders can’t venture, and police are dispatched when a violation occurs. Those who are free on bail are quickly jailed for violating their bonds.

The state also could create a statewide database that police can access to determine if a restraining order is in place when they respond to a domestic call. Penalties for violating orders could be increased beyond the 30-day maximum sentence, with jail an immediate option for those who ignore restrictions while free on bail.

Legislation was submitted this past session in the House and Senate to ease the hurdles for victims by allowing them to petition for protective orders at the time of bond hearings. The bill died in committee without coming to a vote.
Problem
The state has no system in place for assessing which abusers represent the greatest danger for their victims and for taking steps to protect those women. A handful of communities, including Spartanburg, have adopted some form of screening, but no coordinated approach exists statewide to bring police, prosecutors and others together to identify high-risk cases and take action.

Solution
Adopt a statewide lethality screening similar to that pioneered in the state of Maryland. When responding to domestic violence calls, officers use a checklist of factors, including the presence of weapons and evidence of strangulation, to determine which women face the greatest danger.

The state also could adopt a Massachusetts model in which teams of police, prosecutors, probation agents and others regularly review cases to identify dangerous abusers and formulate action plans. Among the options at their disposal is jailing offenders until trial if the courts deem them a sufficient threat. This defuses volatile situations and protects the women against further abuse.

Areas where these models have been employed have experienced sizable drops in domestic killings.

The city of North Charleston is among 12 communities across the country under consideration to receive training in these methods.

Problem
Some 65 percent of domestic murders of women in South Carolina are committed with guns, but the state has no provisions for removing firearms from the homes of convicted abusers. The state requires that judges inform those convicted of domestic violence that federal law prevents them from possessing guns. But the state has no mechanism to ensure offenders comply with that restriction. About one in five gun-related domestic violence killings over the past decade were committed by people who had been barred under federal law from owning or possessing firearms.

Solution
Enact a state law that mirrors the federal prohibition and prohibits gun possession by those convicted of criminal domestic violence or facing an active restraining order. The state also could authorize law enforcement to remove weapons from the homes in question.

More than a dozen states already bar possession of firearms by domestic violence offenders. More than two-thirds of the states and the federal government prohibit firearm possession by abusers who are subject to domestic protective orders.

Legislation to bring South Carolina in line with these states died in the past session in committees without a vote taking place.

Problem
South Carolina has escalating penalties for repeat violators of its domestic violence laws, but too many gaps in the system prevent those enhanced punishments from kicking in. For example, magistrate and municipal courts frequently fail to report misdemeanor convictions to the state, leading to repeat offenders being charged multiple times for first-offense criminal domestic violence. This allows the abuser to continue to face the minimum sentence available.

Solution
Push these summary courts to report the disposition of all misdemeanor domestic violence cases to the State Law Enforcement Division so convictions register on offenders’ rap sheets. Eliminating confusion over the outcome of prior charges reduces the chances of abusers being charged again and again as first-time offenders.

The state also could eliminate a provision allowing offenders to expunge first-offense convictions for domestic violence after five years.

Also in need of review is a law that wipes the slate clean and allows abusers to be charged as first-time offenders 10 years after their initial conviction. Such loopholes allow abusers to avoid accountability for repeat offenses.

The state also could consider establishing a public registry of repeat offenders similar to its sex offender registry, as the parents of a woman slain in Lancaster County last year have proposed.

Problem
The state lacks a designated team tasked with studying domestic homicides in an in-depth, comprehensive manner to look for trends, commonalities and ways to prevent future bloodshed. This presents a missed opportunity for the state to pinpoint ways to increase safety for victims and accountability for abusers.

Solution
Create a fatality review team, as 41 other states have done, to study domestic homicides for potential lessons. In North Carolina, Georgia, Tennessee, Florida and a host of other states, these teams include representatives from a variety of backgrounds, such as police, prosecutors, probation agents, mental health counselors, victim advocates and more. The teams often report holes in the safety net.
Problem
Domestic violence is a generational problem passed down through families. Children witness abuse in the home and mimic that behavior, growing up with a distorted view of how relationships function and often falling into abusive relationships themselves.

Solution
Adopt statewide a Charleston police approach of designating a victim advocate to follow up with children in homes where domestic violence arrests have occurred. The advocate looks for signs of abusive behavior emerging in the children at home or school and attempts to connect them with counseling and other services.

Schools and churches could be encouraged to work with nonprofit children’s centers to explore ways to teach youth about the importance of healthy relationships and nonviolent approaches to resolving conflicts.

A 2011 study of Charleston County domestic violence incidents indicated law enforcement officers seldom charged abusers for subjecting children to violence in the home. The study also indicated that many officers were confused by how child endangerment laws applied in these situations. More training for police has been suggested as a way to hold offenders more accountable.

Problem
Strangulation is not properly addressed in the state’s domestic violence laws. A 2011 study of Charleston County incident reports found that about 60 percent of all domestic strangling episodes resulted in misdemeanor charges.

Solution
Add strangulation to the list of offenses that can trigger a felony charge of high and aggravated criminal domestic violence.

State Rep. Gilda Cobb-Hunter, an Orangeburg Democrat, sponsored legislation this past session to amend the felony law to include strangulation. That bill died in committee without coming to a vote.

Problem
Failure by law enforcement to properly document elements of abuse in incident reports can hamper prosecution when cases go to court. Incomplete reports also leave other officers unaware of the bigger picture when responding to future calls at these homes.

Solution
Adopt a statewide standardized investigative incident form for criminal domestic violence. Train officers to fully describe in incident reports the nature of injuries to each woman, the history of domestic abuse calls to the home and past charges against abusers. This builds a record to help track escalating violence in the home. Officers should note the presence of children to allow for the possibility of additional charges or intervention. And they should note exactly what everyone at the scene has to say about what happened.

The format on statewide incident reports could be slightly altered to include a box for officers to check if strangulation was involved — a key indicator of mounting violence and a potentially lethal situation. This would be useful for both tracking and research purposes.

Problem
South Carolina limits those covered by criminal domestic violence laws to spouses, ex-spouses, people with a child in common or men and women who are or were living together. This definition overlooks a large segment of intimate partners who are victimized.

Solution
Expand state law to cover all intimate partners, which would include present and former boyfriends and girlfriends and same-sex couples. Other states have moved in this direction. North Carolina, for example, extends protections to members of the opposite sex who are dating. Colorado goes further, extending protections to same-sex partners.
Problem
The state doesn’t track the outcome of misdemeanor domestic violence charges handled in municipal and magistrate courts, though those represent the overwhelming majority of domestic cases filed each year. The state does collect information on felony domestic charges but doesn’t publish all of that information. This leaves the courts and the public in the dark as to how effectively these cases are being prosecuted and decided across the state, and which areas might need additional resources.

Solution
Require that the state Judicial Department collect and analyze the dispositions of summary court cases to determine how many criminal domestic violence charges result in convictions and what average sentences are being applied. The Judicial Department could more readily use the data already collected from felony cases to present a fuller picture of how these cases are handled in the state’s General Sessions courts.

Problem
South Carolina deals with domestic violence primarily as a criminal justice problem, devoting few resources to education and prevention.

Solution
Many experts, including the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, view it as “a serious, preventable public health problem” that affects about 5.3 million women in the United States annually. The center’s goal is to stop intimate partner violence before it begins. It promotes programs to encourage healthy behaviors in relationships, and efforts to teach young people skills for dating and other relationships that can prevent violence. South Carolina should introduce such classes in public schools and launch a public health campaign, modeled on anti-smoking efforts, to change the attitudes that breed domestic violence.

Need help?
My Sister’s House
Domestic violence services for Charleston, Berkeley and Dorchester counties
Phone: 747-4069
Hotline: 800-273-4673

People Against Rape
Sexual assault services for Charleston, Berkeley and Dorchester
Phone: 577-9882
Hotline: 745-0144

Liza’s Lifeline
Education, aid to victims, their families and pets
Phone: 991-9085

Family Court (orders of protection)
Charleston County: 958-4400
Berkeley County: 719-4500
Dorchester County: 832-0360

For a complete listing of domestic violence services across South Carolina, go to postandcourier.com.